

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	09/591,11	2 06/09/0	O HUTCHINS, JR.		R	HUTCP0101US	
Г				一	EXAMINER		
			QM12/1011				
	PAUL R ST	EFFES ESQ			MENDIRATTA, V		
	DENNIED OT	TO DOTECT!!	E & GMIAR		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

PAUL R STEFFES ESQ RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR 1621 EUCLID AVENUE 19TH FLOOR CLEVELAND OH 44115

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED:

10/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

:	Application N	No.	Applicant(s)	_						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10.								
Office Action Summary	09/591,112		HUTCHINS, JR., ROBERT H.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Vishu K Mend		3711 orrespondence address							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> .										
	is action is no									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requ	uirement.								
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	- ,— ,,	. —	ved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	-	e action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
-	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 09/591,112

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bulbrook.

Bulbrook teaches a chess game array comprising three dimensional segments (10,11,12,13), gaps or voids between segments Fig.1), four corner segments higher than all other segments, segments having different elevations in that intermediate segment (11) having intermediate elevation to corner (10) and middle (13) segments, segments are of different color/motif/heights, segments having top, bottom and column between top and bottom (40), segments forming 8x8, 2x4x8, or (2x2x2 and 4x8) segments as broadly interpreted. It may be noted that the applicant is not claiming either "a board" or "portions separable in the board". The terms "segments", "motif" are being interpreted broadly as claimed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/591,112

Art Unit: 3711

4. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulbrook in view of Gaito.

Bulbrook teaches all limitations of these claims except that it does not teach interior region of the segment, light in the segment and it being transparent. Gaito teaches interior of segments having light bulbs (50,52). In order to make the playing positions illuminated, it would have been obvious to provide electric bulbs in the interior of the segments. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have provided an interior of the segments for obvious purposes.

5. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulbrook in view of Oretsky.

Bulbrook teaches all limitations of these claims except that it does not teach void fillers. Oretsky teaches void fillers in the shape of a wall (6,8). In order to make the game interesting, it would have been obvious to provide such commonly used items. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have provided fillers as indicated by Oretsky.

6. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulbrook in view of Wood.

Bulbrook teaches all limitations of these claims except that it does not teach implementation of the game on computer. Wood teaches implementation of such a game having segments forming chess arrays on computer (col.2, lines 45-60). In order to popularize the game, it would have been obvious to implement the game on

Application/Control Number: 09/591,112

Art Unit: 3711

computer. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have

implemented the game on computer for large number of people to access the game.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Skillman, Randell, Flynn, Rosenbaum, Palazzolo, Hullinger,

Ortega, Block, teach structure similar to applicants claimed structure. Eplett and Berger

teach segmented game surfaces...

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (703)

306-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeanette Chapman can be reached on (703) 308-1310. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

Vishu K Mendiratta

Examiner

Art Unit 3711

VKM

October 3, 2001

Benjamin H. Layno

Page 4

**Primary Examiner** 

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

## INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

# 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informatities noted by the Dratisperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

## Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.